CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2014 First Round June 11, 2014

Project Number CA-14-064

Project Name Arcata Bay Crossing

Site Address: 280 E Street

Arcata, CA 95521 County: Humboldt

Census Tract: 10.000

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$373,557 \$0 Recommended: \$373,557 \$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Humboldt Bay Housing Development Corp. (HBHDC)

Contact: Bonnie Hughes
Address: 824 L Street, Ste. 5

Arcata, CA 95518

Phone: 707-826-7312 Fax: 707-826-7319

Email: bhughes@housinghumboldt.org

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): Pine Gardens I LLC

Crossing Bridges LLC

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company(ies): Pine Gardens I Inc/RCHDC

Humboldt Bay Housing Development Corp.

Developer: HBHDC/Pine Gardens I Inc

Investor/Consultant: PNC Real Estate

Management Agent(s): RCHDC

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 2 Total # of Units: 32

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 31 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: CDBG

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 40.00%

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 16 50 % 45% AMI: 5 15 % 50% AMI (Rural): 5 15 %

Information

Set-Aside: Rural

Housing Type: Single Room Occupancy

Geographic Area: N/A

TCAC Project Analyst: Daniel Tran

Unit Mix

31 SRO/Studio Units

1 2-Bedroom Units

32 Total Units

Unit	t Type & Number	2014 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2014 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
16	SRO/Studio	30%	30%	\$302
5	SRO/Studio	45%	45%	\$453
5	SRO/Studio	50%	50%	\$503
3	SRO/Studio	55%	55%	\$554
2	SRO/Studio	60%	60%	\$604
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Financing Residential

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$5,703,782 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$220 Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$5,703,782 Per Unit Cost: \$178,243

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
PNC Real Estate	\$3,000,000	MHSA (CalHFA) Loan	\$1,343,957
City of Arcata - Loan	\$395,000	City of Arcata - Loan	\$395,000
City of Arcata - CDBG	\$175,000	City of Arcata - CDBG	\$175,000
City of Arcata - Grant	\$229,387	City of Arcata - Grant	\$229,387
Deferred Developer Fee	\$827,863	GP Equity	\$12,000
GP Equity	\$12,000	Tax Credit Equity	\$3,548,438
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,064,531	TOTAL	\$5,703,782

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$3,731,840
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$4,851,392
Applicable Rate:	7.70%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$373,557
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis):	\$594,183
Investor/Consultant:	PNC Real Estate
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.94991

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$3,731,840 Actual Eligible Basis: \$4,555,401 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$5,440,298 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$6,620,358

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages

One or More Energy Efficiency/Resource Conservation/Indoor Air Quality Features:

- Project has onsite renewable generation estimated to produce 50% or more of annual electricity use as indicated in TCAC Regulations.
- Project has onsite renewable generation estimated to produce 75% or more of annual common area electricity use as indicated in TCAC Regulations.

Local Development Impact Fees

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Single Room Occupancy

Second: **43.887%**

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses are below the minimum operating expenses as allowed per Regulation, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.70% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.36%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: The project's annual per unit operating expenses, in the amount of \$4,243, are slightly below the TCAC published minimum of \$4,500. Upon agreement of the equity investor and the permanent lender, the proposed annual per unit operating expense amount of \$4,243 may be utilized for underwriting as allowed by TCAC Regulations Section 10327(g)(1).

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Arcata, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$373,557 State Tax Credits/Total \$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Tomas System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of public library	3	3	3
Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	5	5	5
Special Needs/SRO project within ½ mile of facility serving tenant pop.	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within 1/2 mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms	5	5	5
Service Coordinator/Other Services Specialist, min. ratio 1 FTE to 360 bdrms	5	5	5
Health/behavioral services provided by licensed org. or individual	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint	5	5	5
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 25%	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Enhanced Accessibility and Visitability	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.